

**MERGED REEXAMINATION AND
Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary**

Control No. 90/005,710 / 09/733392	Patent Under Reexamination 5846435
Examiner Chester T. Barry	Art Unit 1724

All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):

23

- (1) Chester T. Barry (3) _____
(2) Mark Gilbreth (4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 February 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ patent owner 2) ☒ patent owner's representative VIA FAX
2/23/02

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

CTB
2/25/02

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.
Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to..."


Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).


**CHESTER T. BARRY
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

cc: Requester (if third party requester)

Examiner's signature, if required

Reissue 09/733392

OUTSTANDING

OWNER

Continuation of Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: APPLICANT CALLED FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR OWNER'S WRITTEN SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW CONDUCTED 1/3/02, MAILED 1/8/02, AND REMAILED 2/1/02. THE EXAMINER STATED THAT A WRITTEN SUMMARY WAS REQUIRED NO LATER THAN THE DUE DATE FOR THE RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED 8/16/01 (DUE DATE EXTENDED ~~30 DAY~~ FROM 2/1/02 PER NOTICE OF DEFECTIVE PAPER REMAILED 2/1/02). INsofar AS OWNER'S PAPER FILED 10/16/01 WAS DEFECTIVE, THAT PAPER IS NOT VIEWED BY THE OFFICE AS A "RESPONSE." ACCORDINGLY, THE WRITTEN SUMMARY WAS NOT DUE WITHIN 30 DAY OF 1/8/02, ~~BUT~~ THE TIME PERIOD FOR PROVIDING THE SAME RUNS CONCURRENTLY WITH THE RESPONSE PERIOD.

ONE MONTH

09/16/02 10:00 AM